



Abbott Analytical



Consulting Scientists to the Disinfectant Industry

Certificate of Analysis

Sample(s): One sample of Sterizar

Received from: Creative Supply Solutions Ltd. Malvern Mill, South Wing,
Earl Mill, Dowry Street, Oldham, OL8 2PF

Date received: 18 February 2010 **Date tested:** 26 February 2010

Certificate no: 10B.117HH.CSS **Certificate date:** 3 March 2010

Sample ref: 10B/117 **Page:** 1 of 4

Analysis required: BS/EN 1500 chemical disinfectants and antiseptics - hygienic handrub test method

Principle of test:

The number of test organisms released from the fingertips of artificially contaminated hands is assessed before and after using the hygienic handrub. The ratio of the two resulting values is called the reduction factor; it represents a measure of antimicrobial activity of the hygienic handwash product tested.

In order to achieve the necessary precision a large number of subjects has to be used because of the possible variation in bacterial flora found on human skin. In this case a total of twelve healthy adults were chosen, each one carrying out the test procedure in precisely the same way as the others. To compensate for extraneous influences the test sample reduction factor (P) is compared with the reduction factor obtained with a parallel reference handwash procedure (R) which is performed with the same subjects, on the same day and under comparable environmental conditions.

D C Watson

D C Watson BSc, CBiol, MIBiol, MIFST, ACIEHO
PO Box 95, New Ferry, Wirral, CH62 6HA
Tel: 07767 871275 Fax: 0151 630 6540
email: abbottanalytical@hotmail.co.uk



Abbott Analytical



Consulting Scientists to the Disinfectant Industry

3 March 2010

Certificate No: 10B.117HH.CSS

Page 2 of 4

Experimental procedure:

1) Application of the contamination fluid

Each of the 12 subjects was asked to wash their hands for 1 minute in soft soap to remove natural commensal organisms and then dry them thoroughly on a paper towel. The hands were then contaminated with very large numbers of bacteria well in excess of that experienced in normal everyday conditions. The hands were immersed in the contamination fluid (containing an overnight culture of the test organism, in this instance *E. coli*, at a concentration of approximately 10^8 cfu/ml) in a suitable sized container for 5 seconds. The hands were removed from the contamination fluid and surplus liquid allowed to drain back into the container. This time the hands were allowed to air dry for approximately 3 minutes holding them horizontally with fingers spread out and rotating them to and fro to avoid the formation of droplets.

2) Prevalues

Immediately after drying, each of the 12 subjects was asked to rub their fingertips, including the thumbs, for 1 minute on the base of a petri dish (a separate dish for each hand) containing 10ml of maximum recovery diluent (MRD) without neutraliser, in order to assess the release of test organisms before treatment of the hands. Dilutions of these sample fluids were prepared to 10^{-3} and 10^{-4} . A 1ml aliquot of each dilution for each hand was placed in a separate petri dish 10-15ml of Tryptone Soy Agar sterilised and cooled to 45°C added and mixed thoroughly. Plates were allowed to set and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Each plate was then examined for growth of the test organism.

3) Hygienic Handrub procedure

Each of the 12 subjects was asked to pour 3ml of 60% propan-2-ol into cupped hands and rub vigorously for 30s onto the skin, up to the wrists in accordance with the standard handrub procedure. This comprises five strokes backwards and forwards palm to palm, right palm over left dorsum and left palm over right dorsum, palm to palm with fingers interlaced, back of fingers to opposing palms with fingers interlocked, rotational rubbing of right thumb clasped in left palm and left thumb clasped in right palm, rotational rubbing with clasped fingers of right hand in palm of left hand and clasped fingers of left hand in right palm. Repeated with a further 3ml propan-2-ol to give a total rubbing time of 60s. After 60 seconds the hands are rinsed under running tap water for 5 seconds, excess water is shaken off.

D C Watson

D C Watson BSc, CBiol, MIBiol, MIFST, ACIEHO
PO Box 95, New Ferry, Wirral, CH62 6HA
Tel: 07767 871275 Fax: 0151 630 6540
email: abbottanalytical@hotmail.co.uk



Abbott Analytical



Consulting Scientists to the Disinfectant Industry

3 March 2010

Certificate No: 10B.117HH.CSS

Page 3 of 4

4) Handwash procedure with test product (P)

The above procedure was repeated exactly using the test product in place of propan-2-ol.

5) Postvalues

Immediately after wrinsing the 12 subjects were asked to rub the fingertips on the base of a petri dish containing 10ml of MRD with neutraliser for 1 minute using a separate petri dish for each hand. Then 1ml of each of the undiluted sample fluids was placed in a petri dish and covered with 15ml of TSA mixed thoroughly and allowed to set. Plates were then incubated overnight at 37°C and examined for growth of the test organism.

6) Calculation

The number of colony forming units (cfu) per plate for each dilution was recorded and the number of cfu/ml of sample fluid calculated. For both reference and test procedure the log counts from right and left hands of each subject were averaged separately for prevalues and postvalues.

From the difference between this individual combined log prevalue and the log postvalue a log reduction factor is established for each subject. Then the two arithmetic means of all individual log reduction factors are calculated for both the reference and the test procedure. For test product to pass the criteria of EN 1500 the mean log reduction factor obtained must not be significantly smaller than that obtained for the alcohol rub. Test of significance of log reduction factors of P against R is carried out using the Wilcoxon matched pairs signed ranks test.

Results: (see tables on following page)

Comparison of matched pairs with Wilcoxon signed rank test values shows that there is a significant difference in values at the 1% level ($p = 0.01$ level) difference of ranks for test being -34 compared to expected 12 for 12 subjects showing that in the majority of cases the reduction of bacterial numbers by the test product was better than those obtained using IPA.

Conclusion:

Sterizar, when used neat, passes the requirements of EN 1500 for hygienic handrubs when tested under the procedures described above.

D C Watson

D C Watson BSc, CBiol, MIBiol, MIFST, ACIEHO
PO Box 95, New Ferry, Wirral, CH62 6HA
Tel: 07767 871275 Fax: 0151 630 6540
email: abbottanalytical@hotmail.co.uk



Abbott Analytical



Consulting Scientists to the Disinfectant Industry

3 March 2010

Certificate No: 10B.117HH.CSS

Page 4 of 4

Subject	Reference Handwash Procedure (R)			Test Product Handwash Procedure (P)		
	Log x	Log y	Log z	Log x	Log y	Log z
1	6.74	1.54	5.20	6.70	1.00	5.70
2	6.51	0.00	6.51	6.52	0.00	6.52
3	6.60	0.00	6.60	6.61	0.00	6.61
4	6.51	0.00	6.51	6.49	0.00	6.49
5	6.74	1.74	5.00	6.72	1.54	5.18
6	6.59	1.48	5.11	6.63	0.00	6.63
7	6.65	1.48	5.17	6.57	1.00	5.57
8	6.60	0.00	6.60	6.63	1.30	5.33
9	6.74	0.00	6.74	6.72	0.00	6.72
10	6.52	0.00	6.52	6.57	0.00	6.57
11	6.66	0.00	6.66	6.65	0.00	6.65
12	6.60	1.65	4.95	6.55	1.40	5.15
X	6.62	0.66	5.96	6.61	0.52	6.09
N	12	12	12	12	12	12

Where:

Log x = log pre-value

Log y = log post-value

Log z = log reduction factor (RF)

X = Mean of Log x, Log y, Log z

N = Number of subjects per column

Subject	Log RF derived from		Difference R - P	Rank of difference	
	R	P		Without sign	With sign
1	5.20	5.70	-0.50	10	-10
2	6.51	6.52	-0.01	2	-2
3	6.60	6.61	-0.01	2	-2
4	6.51	6.49	0.02	4.5	4.5
5	5.00	5.18	-0.18	7	-7
6	5.11	6.63	-1.52	12	-12
7	5.17	5.57	-0.40	9	-9
8	6.60	5.33	1.27	11	11
9	6.74	6.72	0.02	4.5	4.5
10	6.52	6.57	-0.05	6	-6
11	6.66	6.65	0.01	2	2
12	4.95	5.15	-0.20	8	-8
			Sum of Rank +	22	
			Sum of Rank -	-56	

D C Watson

D C Watson BSc, CBiol, MIBiol, MIFST, ACIEHO
 PO Box 95, New Ferry, Wirral, CH62 6HA
 Tel: 07767 871275 Fax: 0151 630 6540
 email: abbottanalytical@hotmail.co.uk